1	A retrospective analysis of combination chemotherapy consisting of
2	cyclophosphamide, vincristine, prednisolone and procarbazine (C-MOPP) for
3	pretreated aggressive non-Hodgkin lymphoma.
4	
5	Ryoko Fukushima ^a , Yukio Kobayashi ^a , Suguru Fukuhara ^a , Kenénchi Miyamoto ^a ,
6	Wataru Munakata ^a , Dai Maruyama ^a , Sung-Won Kim ^a , Takashi Watanabe ^a , Hirokazu
7	Taniguchi ^b , Akiko Maeshima ^b , Kensei Tobinai ^a
8	
9	^a Department of Hematology, National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo 104-0045,
10	Japan
11	^b Department of Pathology and Clinical Laboratory, National Cancer Center Hospital,
12	Tokyo 104-0045, Japan
13	
14	*Corresponding author: Yukio Kobayashi, MD, PhD
15	Department of Hematology, National Cancer Center Hospital, 5-1-1 Tsukiji, Chuo-ku,
16	Tokyo 104-0045, Japan. Tel: +81-3-3542-2511. Fax: +81-3-3542-3815.
17	E-mail: <u>ykkobaya@ncc.go.jp</u>
18	
19	Conflict of interest: All of the authors have confirmed that they have no conflicts of
20	interest to declare.
21	
22	Acknowledgments

23 We thank all of the patients, physicians, nurses and staff members who

1 s	upported	this	analysis.
-----	----------	------	-----------

Grants received by	authors
--------------------	---------

4	This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Cancer Research from
5	the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare of Japan (Clinical Cancer Research 22-014,
6	22-031 and 23-014), and the National Cancer Center Research and Development Fund
7	(21-6-3, 20-1, 23-A-23, 23-C-7, 26-A-4 and 26-A-24).
8	

1 Abstract

2	The C-MOPP regimen, consisting of cyclophosphamide, vincristine,
3	prednisolone and procarbazine, has been used for treatment of non-Hodgkin lymphoma;
4	however, there are few reports of this therapy against aggressive lymphoma. We
5	performed a retrospective analysis of previously treated 89 patients who had received
6	C-MOPP therapy from 1999 to 2013 at our institution. Median age was 67 (range, 226
7	81) years. Twenty-eight patients obtained CR, 5 obtained PR, and overall response rate
8	was 37% (33/89). The estimated 1-year overall survival and progression-free survival
9	rates were 61% and 33%, respectively. Major grade >2 toxicities were leukopenia
10	(55%) and neutropenia (52%). Efficacy and toxicity was in line with other recent
11	studies involving new agents, given that the subjects mainly consisted of elderly
12	outpatients. These data provide a rationale for the use of C-MOPP as a current control
13	treatment arm when the response to new cancer therapy agents is evaluated.
14	
15	Key words: Non-Hodgkin lymphoma; Refractory; Relapsed; Salvage therapy;
16	Palliative chemotherapy
17	

1 Introduction

2	For relapsed or refractory aggressive lymphoma, high-dose chemotherapy or
3	clinical trials are recommended. ¹⁻² However, a significant number of patients have no
4	indication for these therapies because of their age, comorbidities or chemotherapy
5	resistance. In such cases, palliative chemotherapy is provided. Palliative chemotherapy
6	requires both lower drug toxicity and reasonable efficacy; however, data regarding such
7	palliative chemotherapy are limited. C-MOPP, which consists of a combination
8	chemotherapeutic regimen consisting of cyclophosphamide, vincristine, prednisolone
9	and procarbazine, can be administered in an outpatient setting without hospitalization.
10	This regimen was originally reported 40 years ago and has been used in the treatment of
11	Hodgkin lymphoma. ³⁻⁸ The efficacy of the C-MOPP regimen against follicular
12	lymphoma has also been reported.9,10
13	In our institution, we have used C-MOPP as a palliative therapy, even aiming at
14	tumor reduction prior to the follow-on cure-oriented hematopoietic stem cell
15	transplantation (HSCT). In the present retrospective analysis, we have evaluated the
16	efficacy and safety of C-MOPP with or without rituximab regarding previously treated
17	aggressive non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL).
18	
19	

20 Patients and methods

1 Patients

2	The records of all of the patients previously treated for aggressive non-Hodgkin
3	lymphoma with the C-MOPP chemotherapy regimen from 1999 to 2013 were obtained
4	from the National Cancer Center Hospital database. In this study, we defined aggressive
5	lymphoma as intermediate- or high-grade histopathologic subtypes (diffuse large B-cell
6	lymphoma, mantle cell lymphoma, Burkitt lymphoma, peripheral T-cell lymphoma,
7	extranodal NK/T-cell lymphoma, nasal type and others). In the 89 patients, we reviewed
8	all of the assessable characteristics, pathology, treatment and follow-up information
9	using patient charts. All of the pathologic data were confirmed at our institution (by H.T.
10	and A.M.).
11	
12	Treatment and evaluation
13	C-MOPP was administered as follows shown in the schematic in Figure 1. The
14	cyclophosphamide dose was modified from the original; ¹¹ it was 350 mg/m ² on days 1
15	and 8. Vincristine (1.0 mg/m^2) was administered on days 1 and 8, prednisone (60 mg
16	daily orally) on days 163 and 8610, and procarbazine (100 mg) on days 1614 repeated
17	every 28 days. The dose of cyclophosphamide was 350 mg/m ² , which is the most
18	frequently used dose in Japan. ^{12,13} The number of delivered cycles, modification of dose
19	and duration of administered procarbazine were determined according to the physicianø
20	judgment. Since September 2003 when rituximab was approved in Japan, R-C-MOPP

T	therapy has been preferred in cases with CD20 positive B-cen lymphonia.
2	Manufacturer names for all of the products used in the present study are as
3	follows. Cyclophosphamide, prednisolone: Shionogi Pharmaceutical Company, Ohsaka,
4	Japan. Vincristine: Nipponkayaku Company, Tokyo, Japan. Procarbazine: Chugai
5	Pharmaceutical Company, Tokyo, Japan. Rituximab: Zenyaku Kogyo, Tokyo, Japan.
6	Tumor response was evaluated by computed tomography (CT) scans after one
7	or more cycles. Response was defined according to the RECIST criteria ¹⁴ , because no
8	patients underwent PET/CT. Bone marrow aspiration or biopsy to confirm CR were
9	done only in cases with bone marrow infiltration positive before the treatment.
10	Toxicities were assessed according to the National Cancer Institute Common
11	Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 4.0. Institutional review board
12	approval was obtained for this study.
13	
14	Statistical analysis
15	Progression-free survival (PFS) was calculated from the date of
16	commencement of C-MOPP therapy to the date of documentation of clinical
17	progression, the start of the next therapy, death from any cause or the last follow-up
18	visit. Overall survival (OS) was calculated from the date of commencement of C-MOPP
19	therapy to the date of death from any cause or the last follow-up. The probabilities of
20	PFS and OS were evaluated using the Kaplan-Meier method.

1 therapy has been preferred in cases with CD20 positive B-cell lymphoma.

 $\mathbf{2}$

3	Results
4	Baseline characteristics
5	Out of the 89 patients, 55 (62%) were diagnosed with diffuse large B-cell
6	lymphoma (DLBCL), 17 (19%) with peripheral T-cell lymphoma or not otherwise
7	specified lymphoma (PTCL, NOS) and 17 (19%) with miscellaneous lymphoma (Table
8	1). Among the 55 patients with DLBCL, the diagnoses of 11 cases were as follows:
9	transformed follicular lymphoma (FL) in three; transformation from chronic
10	lymphocytic leukemia/small lymphocytic lymphoma in one; DLBCL with FL in three;
11	DLBCL with nodal marginal zone lymphoma in two; DLBCL with mucosa-associated
12	lymphoma tissue (MALT lymphoma) in one; and gray zone with Hodgkin lymphoma in
13	one.
14	The median number of regimens was 2 (range, 1-5). Eighty six patients (97%)
15	had previously been treated with CHOP (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine
16	and prednisone) or CHOP-like chemotherapy, and the rest of other 3 patients had
17	entered phase II clinical trial of more intensive therapy as a front line chemotherapy.
18	Main salvage regimens before C-MOPP therapy were ESHAP (N=25), EPOCH (10)
19	and ICE (5), and two patients were treated with darinaparsin or everolimus as Phase I
20	studies. The median time from the last systemic chemotherapy was 3 months (range

1	0-105), and 63 patients were administered C-MOPP within 1 year from the last
2	treatment. Eleven patients (12%) had undergone autologous or allogeneic stem cell
3	transplantation prior to C-MOPP. Fifty-five patients (62%) were aged 65 years when
4	they commenced treatment with the C-MOPP regimen, and they were not considered as
5	candidates for subsequent stem cell transplantation (SCT).
6	
7	Treatment combination and modification
8	Among the 55 patients with DLBCL, 17 (31%) received rituximab. The
9	remaining 38 DLBCL patients were treated without rituximab, because they were
10	treated before the drug was approved (n=25), after rituximab failure (n=6), or CD20
11	was negative (n=4) or unknown (n=3).
12	The median number of cycles of C-MOPP was four (range, 1619) (Table 2). In
13	25 patients (28%), tumor shrinkage was observed and the therapy was discontinued
14	(Table 3). Disease progression (n=49; 55%) resulted in discontinuation of C-MOPP
15	therapy. Four patients stopped the therapy because of severe toxicity; two had
16	pneumonitis, one had pneumonia and one had bone marrow suppression and liver
17	damage. After discontinuation of C-MOPP, forty-four patients (49%) received different
18	chemotherapy and twelve patients (14%) underwent allogeneic HSCT; twelve patients
19	were able to undergo high-dose chemotherapy followed by allogeneic SCT, when
20	progression was not shown in 9 cases, or when other subsequent salvage therapy was

1	effective in the rest of 3 cases. Four patients (5%) received palliative radiation therapy,
2	and 28 (32%) had supportive care only.
3	The dose of procarbazine is shown in Table 4. In patients with severe
4	myelosuppression or drug eruption, the procarbazine dose was reduced. One patient was
5	given an escalated dose to increase efficacy, taking into consideration
6	myelosuppression.
7	
8	Outcome
9	The best tumor responses during the treatment period were assessable in 75
10	cases, among which, 28 patients obtained CR, 5 obtained PR, 17 obtained SD, and 25
11	obtained PD (Table 5). Overall response rate (ORR) was 37% (33/89), 43% in DLBCL,
12	and 17% in PTCL-NOS. The response was translated into the survival; The median PFS
13	of patients who achieved CR by C-MOPP was 33.1 months where as those with PR was
14	2.7 months (Table 6).
15	After a median follow-up of 61 months for the censored patients, 80 (90%)
16	clinically progressed. The median time to progression was 7 (range, 16149) months, and
17	the estimated 1-year PFS rate was 33% (the number of events was 53). The median OS
18	time was 17 (range, 16152) months, and the estimated 1-year OS rate was 61% (the
19	number of events was 33) (Figs.2A and B). In DLBCL and PTCL-NOS patients, the
20	1-year PFS rates were 37% and 17%, and the 1-year OS rates were 61% and 64%,

1 respectively (Figure 3).

2	The median PFS was also as long as 10.6 months among cases who had had
3	achieved CR after previous CHOP or CHOP-like regimens. In the CHOP refractory
4	cases, the median PFS was 2.7 months. Only 2 patients responded over 12 months, and
5	their procarbazine relative dose intensity were >75% (Table 6).
6	Eighty-one patients were treated in an outpatient setting. The hematological
7	toxicities of leukopenia and neutropenia were common (Table 7). Febrile neutropenia
8	occurred in 11 patients (12%), grade 3 pneumonitis occurred in two and pneumonia in
9	one. Secondary primary malignancy was observed in two patients; both had gastric
10	cancer and both died from secondary malignancies without relapse of the lymphoma.
11	
12	Discussion
13	In our study, 52 patients (58%) were aged >65 years, 15 patients (16%)
14	received >2 previous systemic chemotherapies, 11 patients (12%) relapsed after
15	high-dose chemotherapy (one patient underwent both auto and allo SCT), and 63
16	patients (71%) were in advanced stage when we started C-MOPP, and in that conditions,
17	palliative chemotherapy or novel agent study is definitely required.
10	In the cases where CHOP therapy is the standard therapy and once CHOP
10	In the cases where error therapy is the standard therapy and once error
10	therapy had some efficacy, we could use the same therapy, however, anthracyclin

1	procarbazine, was added instead, which constituted C-MOPP therapy, which used to be			
2	an old standard decades ago for treatment of aggressive lymphoma. Moreover, we			
3	supposed the known low toxicity level and the convenience that allows outpatient			
4	management was suitable for palliative settings.			
5	The therapy was originally reported as a treatment for Hodgkin lymphoma. In			
6	addition, Longo et al. reported that this regimen was also effective in the treatment of			
7	FL.9 These authors evaluated 79 newly diagnosed nodular mixed lymphoma patients			
8	including 33 who had received C-MOPP with or without radiotherapy. They found that			
9	60 patients (76%) achieved complete remission, and 31 of these patients (52%)			
10	remained in remission throughout a median follow-up time of 7 years. ⁹ Recently, Fesler			
11	et al. reported the outcome of R-C-MOPP for untreated or relapsed follicular lymphoma.			
12	Among the relapsed patients (n=12), the overall response rate was 75% . ¹⁰			
13	We intended to use this regimen as a palliative chemotherapy.			
14	There have been a number of studies regarding cure-oriented therapeutic options for			
15	aggressive NHL, but few studies concerning palliative options. Palliative treatments			
16	need to be performed in an outpatient setting, with both lower intensity and toxicity,			
17	even if the effectiveness is limited to some extent. Nelson et al. reported the outcome of			
18	treatment using the CEPP(B) regimen that consists of cyclophosphamide, etoposide,			
19	procarbazine and prednisone with or without bleomycin, for patients with relapsed			
20	aggressive NHL. ¹⁵ The study population consisted of 44% of patients who were aged			

1	60 years, and a 72% overall response rate with a median survival time of 12 months
2	was reported. ¹⁵ Gemcitabine can achieve an overall response rate of 20660% in
3	refractory NHL. ¹⁶⁻¹⁸ The GDP regimen (gemcitabine, dexamethasone and cisplatin) is
4	also available for the treatment of refractory B-NHL outpatients. ¹⁸ Among the 17
5	patients who did not undergo auto SCT, the median time to progression was 3.1 months.
6	Recently, treatment using bendamustine plus rituximab resulted in a 63% overall
7	response rate in patients with relapsed DLBCL, and the median time to progression was
8	6.7 months. ¹⁹
9	Compared with the findings of these studies, the PFS rate at 1 year after
10	treatment using C-MOPP was as high as 33% in the present study, and the median
11	duration to progression was 7 months. Forty patients (45%) responded to C-MOPP,
12	which represents superior efficacy to that achieved in the above studies. Because we did
13	not prospectively evaluate tumor size using CT scans, the date of progression might
14	have been missed or detection delayed. Even accepting such a potential bias, the OS at 1
15	year was 63%, which is again favorable data.
16	In the present study, grade 364 hematologic toxicities occurred in 20655% of
17	patients; these patients required the administration of granulocyte-colony stimulating
18	factor for prophylactic usage, blood transfusion and a reduction in the dose of
19	procarbazine. However, these therapies were well tolerated as a whole. Not all the
20	non-hematological adverse events were assessed, but 24 patients received more than 6

1	cycles of C-MOPP, among them five patients received more than 10 cycles without
2	severe toxicities, and even subsequent allogeneic transplantation was successfully
3	performed. Toxicities were lower and milder than those of gemcitabine, GDP and
4	bendamustine plus rituximab regimens, which were associated with an incidence of 66
5	73% hematological adverse events. ¹⁸⁻¹⁹
6	We showed responses in these palliative settings especially in relapsed cases
7	after CHOP or CHOP-like regimens, which is translated to longer PFS and OS. These
8	data could serve as a rationale for the use of C-MOPP as a current control treatment arm
9	when the efficacy of a novel agent is evaluated.
10	

1 **References**

- 2 1. Friedberg JW. Relapsed/refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. Hematology Am
- 3 Soc Hematol Educ Program. 2011; 498-505.
- 4 2. Moskowitz AJ, Lunning MA, Horwitz SM. How I treat the peripheral T-cell
- 5 lymphomas. Blood. 2014;123(17):2636-44.
- 6 3. DeVita VT Jr, Simon RM, Hubbard SM, Young RC, Berard CW, Moxley JH 3rd, et
- al. Curability of advanced Hodgkin's disease with chemotherapy. Long-term follow-up
- 8 of MOPP-treated patients at the National Cancer Institute. Ann Intern Med
- 9 1980;92(5):587-95.
- 10 4. Bakemeier RF, Anderson JR, Costello W, Rosner G, Horton J, Glick JH et al.
- 11 BCVPP chemotherapy for advanced Hodgkin's disease: evidence for greater duration of
- 12 complete remission, greater survival, and less toxicity than with a MOPP regimen.
- 13 Results of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group study. Ann Intern Med
- 14 1984;101(4):447-56.
- 15 5. Longo DL, Young RC, Wesley M, Hubbard SM, Duffey PL, Jaffe ES, et al. Twenty
- 16 years of MOPP therapy for Hodgkin's disease. J Clin Oncol 1986;4(9):1295-306.
- 17 6. Bonadonna G, Valagussa P, and Santoro A. Alternating non-cross-resistant
- 18 combination chemotherapy or MOPP in stage IV Hodgkin's disease. A report of 8-year
- 19 results. Ann Intern Med 1986 ;104(6):739-46.
- 20 7. Diehl V, Pfreundschuh M, Löffler M, Rühl U, Hiller E, Gerhartz H, et al.

1	Chemotherapy of Hodgkin's lymphoma with alternating cycles of COPP				
2	(cyclophosphamide, vincristin, procarbazine, prednisone) and ABVD (doxorubicin,				
3	bleomycin, vinblastine and dacarbazine). Results of the HD1 and HD3 trials of the				
4	German Hodgkin Study Group. Med Oncol Tumor Pharmacother 1989;6(2):155-62.				
5	8. Canellos GP, Anderson JR, Propert KJ, Nissen N, Cooper MR, Henderson ES, et al.				
6	Chemotherapy of advanced Hodgkin's disease with MOPP, ABVD, or MOPP				
7	alternating with ABVD. N Engl J Med. 1992;327(21):1478-84.				
8	9. Longo DL, Young RC, Hubbard SM, Wesley M, Fisher RI, Jaffe E, et al. Prolonged				
9	initial remission in patients with nodular mixed lymphoma. Ann Intern Med.				
10	1984;100(5):651-6.				
11	10. Fesler MJ, Osman M, Glauber J, Petruska PJ. C-MOPP: the forgotten regimen				
12	plus Rituximab for untreated and relapsed follicular lymphoma. Am J Blood Res.				
13	2011;1(2):204-14.				
14	11. Schein PS, Chabner BA, Canellos GP, Young RC, Berard C, DeVita VT. Potential				
15	for prolonged disease-free survival following combination chemotherapy of				
16	non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Blood. 1974;43:181-9.				
17	12. Horikoshi N, Inagaki J, Ogawa M. Chemotherapy for advanced non-Hodgkin's				
18	lymphoma with CVP therapy. Gan To Kagaku Ryoho. 1983;10:1885-91.				
19	13. Shimoyama M, Ota K, Kikuchi M, Yunoki K, Konda S, Takatsuki K, et al.				
20	Chemotherapeutic results and prognostic factors of patients with advanced				

1 non-Hodgkin's lymphoma treated with VEPA or VEPA-M. J Clin O	ncol.
--	-------

2 1988;6:128-	-41.
---------------	------

- 3 14. Eisenhauer EA, Theresse P, Bogaerts J, Schwartz LH, Sargent D, Ford R, et al.
- 4 New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: Revised RECIST guideline (ver 1.1),
- 5 Eur J Cancer. 2009;45(2):228-247.
- 6 15. Nelson J, Saul A, Sandra J. CEPP(B): An effective and well-tolerated regimen in
- 7 poor-risk, aggressive non-Hodgkinø lymphoma. Blood 1990;76:1293-8.
- 8 16. Fosså A, Santoro A, Hiddemann W, Truemper L, Niederle N, Buksmaui S, et al.
- 9 Gemcitabine as a single agent in the treatment of relapsed or refractory aggressive
- 10 non-Hodgkinø lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 1999;17:3786-92.
- 11 17. Sallah S, Wan JY, Nguyen NP. Treatment of refractory T-cell malignancies using
- 12 gemcitabine. Br J Haematol 2001;113(1):185-7.
- 13 18. Zinzani PL, Venturini F, Stefoni V, Fina M, Pellegrini C, Derenzini E, et al.
- 14 Gemcitabine as single agent in pretreated T-cell lymphoma patients: evaluation of the
- 15 long-term outcome. Ann Oncol 2010;21(4):860-3.
- 16 19. Crump M, Baetz T, Couban S, Belch A, Marcellus D, Howson-Jan K, et al.
- 17 Gemcitabine, dexamethasone, and cisplatin in patients with recurrent or refractory
- 18 aggressive histology B-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma: a Phase II study by the National
- 19 Cancer Institute of Canada Clinical Trials Group (NCIC-CTG). Cancer
- 20 2004;101(8):1835-42.

- Ohmachi K, Niitsu N, Uchida T, Kim SJ, Ando K, Takahashi N, et al. Multicenter
 phase II study of bendamustine plus rituximab in patients with relapsed or refractory
 diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 2013;31(17):2103-9.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Schema of C-MOPP

Figure 2. Survival of the patients. A. Progression-free survival. The survival time (months) was calculated from the date of commencement of C-MOPP to the date the physician recognized that the tumor had progressed. Bars indicate censored cases.

B. Overall survival. Bars indicate censored cases.

Figure 3. Survival of DLBCL and PTCL-NOS. A. Progression-free survival of DLBCL (solid line) and PTCL-NOS (dotted line). Bars indicate censored cases.

B. Overall survival of DLBCL (solid line) and PTCL-NOS (dotted line). Bars indicate censored cases.

Characteristics		Number (%)
		(n=89)
Histological subtype		
DLBCL		55 (62)
PTCL-NOS		17 (19)
AITL		9 (10)
ALCL		3 (3)
ATL		4 (4)
Blastic NK Lymphoma		1 (1)
Number of prior systemic	1	37 (42)
chemotherapy regimens	2	37 (42)
	3	8 (9)
	×4	7 (7)
Previously treated with		89 (100)
anthracycline-containing therapy		
Previously treated with		26 (29)
rituximab-containing therapy		
Prior SCT	Auto	9 (10)
	Allo	2 (29
Gender	Male	47 (53)
Age	Median (Range)	67 (22-81)
	>65	52 (58)
Ann Arbor stage	III, IV	63 (71)
LDH elevation	> Normal limit	62 (70)
Extranodal sites	×2	17 (19)
Performance status	×2	7 (8)
	unknown	3 (3)

Table 1. Baseline characteristics at the commencement of C-MOPP

Cycles	Number (%)	
1	10 (11)	
2	13 (15)	
3	13 (15)	
4	10 (11)	
5	6 (6)	
6	13 (15)	
7	6 (6)	
8	9 (11)	
9	3 (4)	
>9	6 (6)	

Table 2. Number of cycles of C-MOPP delivered

Table 3. Reasons for discontinuation of C-MOPP

	Number (%)
Disease progression	49 (55)
Tumor shrinkage	25 (28)
Allo-SCT	9 (10)
Adverse event	4 (5)
Patientø refusal	2 (2)

Relative dose intensity (%)	Number (%)
0-25	16 (18)
25-50	29 (33)
50-75	15 (17)
75-100	27 (30)
>100	2 (2)

Table 4. Relative dose intensity of procarbazine

Relative dose intensity was calculated as the delivered dose intensity divided by the

planned dose intensity (Fig. 1).

Table 5. The best tumor	response of C-MOPP
-------------------------	--------------------

	Total (N=89)	DLBCL (N=55)	PTCL-NOS (N=17)
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
CR	28 (31)	20 (36)	3 (17)
PR	5 (6)	4 (7)	0 (0)
SD	17 (19)	7 (13)	6 (35)
PD	25 (28)	16 (29)	4 (23)
NE	14 (16)	8 (15)	4 (23)

	Total	PFS, months	OS, months		
	Ν	Median (range)	Median (range)		
The best response of C-MOPP					
CR	28	33.1 (2.3-149.4)	NR (7.8-152.9)		
PR	5	9.5 (0.8-12.7)	12.1 (3.9-25.1)		
SD	17	5.0 (0.8-21.8)	22.7 (1.1-72.0)		
PD	25	2.7 (0.3-16.9)	6.4 (1.0-18.8)		
Survival according to the response to previous CHOP or CHOP-like treatment					
CR*	50	10.6 (0.1-149.4)	22.7 (1.4-152.9)		
Non CR*	27	2.7 (0.1-45.2)	11.5 (1.0-103.3)		

Table 6. Survival according to response of C-MOPP by that of previous treatment

* Cases with CR or non-CR by previous CHOP or CHOP-like treatment.

Table 7. Incidence of adverse events

	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 3-4
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
Leukopenia	34 (38)	15 (17)	49 (55)
Neutropenia	28 (32)	18 (20)	46 (52)
Anemia	31 (35)	0 (0)	31 (35)
Thrombocytopenia	7 (8)	11 (12)	18 (20)
Febrile neutropenia	11 (12)	0 (0)	11 (12)











Figure3.A.





